Dissertation Proposal Rubric (Revised 8/17/17) A. Specify Topic Domain

A. Specify 10	pic Domain							
1	2	3	4	5				
Topic is		Insufficient topic		Appropriate				
unspecified,		breadth or depth		breadth; only				
unfocused, or too				relevant work cited				
broad								
B. Demonstrate Control of Literature								
1	2	3	4	5				
Studies cited		Studies cited with		Fluent, well				
without connection		some linkage;		integrated				
		synthesis of		connections made;				
		literature (e.g.		leads to next steps				
		meta-analysis)						
C. Establish I	mportance of Topic							
1	2	3	4	5				
Topic relayed		Some indication of		Topic importance				
without context in		topic importance		for research				
literature		noted		confirmed				
D. Identify Research Hypotheses								
1	2	3	4	5				
No hypotheses; not	Work cited without		Gaps in research	Formulation of				
stated in research	research context		findings noted	research ideas;				
context				clear hypotheses				
				stated				
E. Demonstra	ite Command of Four	ndational Concepts (i	i.e., theory, models) R	Related to Literature				
1	2	3	4	5				
Related foundation		Important concepts		Foundation				
concepts ignored		mentioned;		concepts integrated				
or glossed over		understanding not		with fluid clarity				
		well demonstrated						
F. Research I	Design							
1	2	3	4	5				
No design		Design does not		Multivariate				
proposed		match problem		Statistical design				
				reflects				
				sophistication of				
				problem				
G. Applied M	easurement to Resea	rch Approaches						
1	2	3	4	5				
Instruments		Reviews of		Instrumentation				
adopted without		instruments based		reflects advanced				
empirical		on technical		procedures review				
		reliability		& validity research				
				(e.g. confirmatory				
				factor analysis,				
				inter-rater				
				reliability analyses,				
				construct validity evidence)				
				evidence)				

H.	Sam	ple

H. Sample					
1	2	3	4	5	
Samples not		Sample size ill-		Samples are	
defined		defined; sample		feasible and	
		does not address		generalizable	
		hypothesis			
I. Oral Presen	tation				
1	2	3	4	5	
Defensiveness		Demonstrated		Ability to respond	
		depth of expertise		meaningfully to	
				questions and offe	
				appropriate	
				feedback	
J. Feasibility					
1	2	3	4	5	
Research design		Research design		Research design is	
has major		has minor		feasible	
constraints; not		constraints that			
feasible		may impact ability			
reasione		to carry out the			
		planned work			
		planned work			
K. Leadership	Implications/Conne	ctions			
1	2	3	4	5	
Unspecified/unfocused	Insufficient depth	Linkages noted but		Clear connection	
1	or breadth	not well integrated		made; implication	
				and possibilities	
				discussed and	
				integrated	
Approved	Not Approved	Approved	with stipulation	ns listed below	
ments (circle those which	are stipulations for a	pproval):			
atures:					
ertation Advisor					
ent				Doto	
nt				Date	